BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)	
ROSCOE B. MARTIN, M.D. Certificate No. A-39017)	No. 02-96-68630
Respondent.)))	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on May 28, 1997

IT IS OR ORDERED April 28, 1997

Rv-

ANABEL ANDERSON IMBERT, M.D.

President

Division of Medical Quality

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General			
2	of the State of California GAIL M. HEPPELL,			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General FRED A. SLIMP II			
4	Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125			
5	P. O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550			
6	Telephone: (916) 324-7861			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE			
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMED AFFAIRS			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation)	Case No. 02-96-68630		
12	Against:	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT		
13	ROSCOE BERNARD MARTIN, M.D. 8052 Indian Creek Drive)	AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
14	Orangevale, CA 95662	DISCH LINAR I ORDER		
15	Physician's and Surgeon's) Certificate No. A-39017,			
16	Respondent.)			
17	,			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED by and between the		
19	parties to the above-entitled proce	edings that the following		
20	matters are true:			
21	1. An Accusation in case number 02-96-68630 was filed			
22	with the Division of Medical Quality, of the Medical Board of			
23	California Department of Consumer Affairs (the "Division") on			
24	December 30, 1996, and is currently pending against Roscoe Bernard			
25	Martin, M.D. ("respondent").			
26	2. The Accusation, toget	her with all statutorily		
27				

required documents, was duly served on the respondent on or about December 30, 1996, and respondent filed a Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation on or about January 13, 1997. A copy of Accusation No. 02-96-68630 is attached as Exhibit "A" and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

- 3. The Complainant, Ronald Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California and brought this action solely in his official capacity. The Complainant is represented by the Attorney General of California, Daniel E. Lungren, by and through Deputy Attorney General Fred A. Slimp II.
- 4. The respondent is represented in this matter by Roosevelt O'Neal, Jr., Esq., whose address is 7300 Lincolnshire, Suite 200, Sacramento, California 95823.
- 5. The respondent and his attorney have fully discussed the charges contained in Accusation number 02-96-68630, and the respondent has been fully advised regarding his legal rights and the effects of this stipulation.
- 6. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-39017.
- 7. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his certificate. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his

1.3

right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, appeal and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and give up each of these rights.

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every allegation of the Accusation No. 02-96-68630, and agrees that respondent has thereby subjected his certificate to disciplinary action. Respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's Disciplinary Order as set forth below.
- 9. Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

Certificate number A-39017 issued to Roscoe Bernard Martin, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions. Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service of a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine and on the Chief

- Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.
- 1. <u>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</u> As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for thirty (30) days beginning the sixteenth (16th) day after the effective date of this decision.
- 2. <u>COMMUNITYSERVICE-FREE SERVICES</u> Within sixty (60) days of the completion of the suspension set forth in paragraph 1, above, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a community service program in which respondent shall provide free medical services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least ten (10) hours a month for the first thirty (30) months of probation.
- З. EDUCATION COURSE Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designated by the Division, which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.

26

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4. ETHICS COURSE Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM OR ORAL CLINICAL OR WRITTEN EXAM Within one hundred twenty (120) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its approval a clinical training or education program. The exact number of hours and specific content of the program shall be subject to the approval of the Division or its designee. Respondent shall successfully complete the training program and may be required to pass an examination administered by the Division or its designee related to the program's contents. If respondent has not submitted to the Division or its designee and received its approval on a clinical training or education program no later than one hundred twenty (120) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical exam no later than one hundred eighty (180) days of the effective date of this decision in a subject to be designated and administered by the Division, or its designee. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral The waiting period between the first and second examination. examinations shall be at least three (3) months. fails to pass the first and second examination, respondent may take

a third and final examination after waiting a period of one (1) year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within twentyone (21) months after the effective date of this decision, if no clinical training or education program is approved by the Division or its designee, shall constitute a violation of probation. respondent shall pay the costs of these examinations within ninety (90) days of the administration of each exam. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be suspended from the а examination practice of medicine until repeat has successfully passed, as evidenced by written notice to respondent from the Division or its designee.

date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by another physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall provide periodic reports to the Division or its designee.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new monitor appeainted, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

- 7. OBEYALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
 - 8. QUARTERLYREPORTS Respondent shall submit quarterly

27

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

9. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his addresses of business and residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

10. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS

DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice

7.

within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

- 12. <u>COMPLETIONOF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 14. COSTRECOVERY The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the amount of two thoudsand five hundred dollars (\$27500) within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision for its investigative and prosecution costs. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility

2.0

to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs, nor shall this condition be subject to the tolling provisions set forth in paragraph 11, above.

- associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.
- this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will not longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

CONTINGENCY

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or

1.2

participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

ACCEPTANCE

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney, Roosevelt O'Neal, Jr., Esq. I understand the effect this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my certificate, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily.

DATED: 4-7-97.

ROSCOE BERNARD MARTI

Respondent

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and approve as to form and content. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters therein with respondent Roscoe Bernard Martin, M.D.

DATED: 4.7.97.

ROOSEVELT O'NEAL, JR., ESQ.

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: <u>4-7-97</u>

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California

FRED A. SLIMP II
Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

EXHIBIT A

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California GAIL M. HEPPELL, Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 FRED A. SLIMP II Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 4 P. O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-7861 FAX: (916) 324-5567 6 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 9 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 02-96-68630 11 Against: 12 ACCUSATION ROSCOE BERNARD MARTIN, M.D. 8052 Indian Creek Drive 13 Orangevale, CA 95662 14 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-39017, 15 16 Respondent. 17 The Complainant alleges: 18 19 **PARTIES** 1._ Complainant, Ronald Joseph, is 20 the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the 21 "Board") and brings this accusation solely in his official 22 23 capacity. 24 2. On or about August 23, 1982, physician's and surgeon's certificate number A-39017 was issued by the Board to 25 Roscoe Bernard Martin, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), and at all 26

times relevant to the charges brought herein, this license has been in full force and effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on October 31, 1997.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
 - A. Section 2227 of the Code provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found quilty under the Medical Practice Act.
 - B. Section 2234(e) of the Code provides that the commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct.
 - C. Section 2236(a) of the Code provides that a criminal conviction involving any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct.
 - D. Section 2261 of the Code provides that knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state

of facts constitutes unprofessional conduct.

- E. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- Section 16.01 of the 1996/97 Budget Act of the State of California provides, in pertinent part, that: (a) no funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any service performed by a physician while that physician's license is under suspension or revocation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California; and (b) no funds appropriated by this act shall be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any surgical service or other invasive procedure performed by a physician if that physician has been placed on probation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California related to the performance of that specific service or procedure on any patient, except in any w<u>h</u>ere the Board makes a determination during disciplinary process that there exist compelling circumstances that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period.
- 5. Respondent Roscoe Bernard Martin, M.D. is guilty of unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code sections 2234(e), 2236(a) and 2261 as more specifically alleged below.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dishonest/Corrupt Act Substantially Related)
[Bus. & Prof. Code § 2234(e)]

a statement to the State Compensation Insurance Fund ("SCIF") concerning the medical condition of patient Martha T. In that statement, respondent knowingly stated falsely that Martha T. was first seen in his offices on or about November 3, 1992, complaining of neck, shoulder and upper back pain from a recently suffered industrial injury, and that her medical history was unremarkable. In reality Martha T. had been seen in respondent's offices from July, 1990 until at least October 28, 1992 for neck and back pain from an automobile collision on or about June 21, 1990. During the period July 30, 1990 to October 28, 1992 Martha T. was seen on 32 occasions in respondent's offices for neck and back pain. Martha T. saw respondent on 12 occasions alone in October, 1992 for neck and back pain.

7. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 6, above, constitutes the commission of a dishonest or corrupt act substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon and therefore unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code § 2234(e).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False Document Related to Medical Practice)

^{1.} The full names of all individuals named in the Accusation may be obtained by respondent upon the proper filing of a request for discovery.

[Bus. & Prof. Code § 2261]

- Complainant realleges paragraph 6, above, 8. incorporates it by reference herein as though fully set forth at this point.
- Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 6, above, constitutes the knowing making of a document related to the practice of medicine that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts and therefore unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code § 2261.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Offense Substantially Related) [Bus. & Prof. Code § 2236(a)]

- Complainant realleges paragraph 6, above, incorporates it by reference herein as though fully set forth at this point.
- On or about November 30, 1996 a criminal complaint in People of the State of California v. Martin and Torres, Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 95F09956, was filed against respondent alleging a felony violation of Insurance Code § 1871.4(a)(2), willful presentation of a knowingly false and fraudulent written statement in support of a claim for compensation for the purpose of obtaining workers' compensation insurance benefits. Thereafter on or about October 21, 1996, respondent pled nolo contendere to the felony violation and was convicted thereon. On or about October 29, 1996, respondent was placed on one (1) year probation with terms and conditions, and his conviction was reduced

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

to a misdemeanor violation.

12. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 6, above, in conjunction with this conviction as set forth in paragraph 11, above, constitutes conviction of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon and therefore unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Code § 2236(a).

PRAYER

WHEREFORE the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing the Division issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending physician's and surgeon's certificate number A-39017 heretofore issued to respondent Roscoe Bernard Martin, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of respondent's authority to supervise physician assistants pursuant Code section 3527;
- 3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case; and _

21 ///

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 1///

23 | ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 | ///

Taking such other and further action as the Division 4. may deem necessary or proper. DATED: December 30, 1996 Ronald Joseph Executive Director Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant forms\accuse [115 rev]